

EIROPAS SAVIENĪBA Eiropas Reģionālās attīstības fonds



IEGULDĪJUMS TAVĀ NĀKOTNĒ

Towards the First Dictation System for Latvian Language

Askars Salimbajevs

Tilde, Latvia

Baltic HLT 2016 7 Oct. 2016

Introduction

- Acoustic properties of dictated speech
- Language properties of dictated speech
- Dictation commands
 - Punctuation
 - New line, new paragraph
 - Special symbols (&, #, emoticons)
 - Formatting and editing
- Real-time factor

Speech recognition: overview



Acoustic model

- Cross-entropy trained HMM-DNN
- Based on Kaldi online/nnet2 recipe for "Switchboard".
- 100h Latvian Speech Recognition Corpus[1]
- 8h from Latvian Dictated Speech Corpus[2] is added for domain adaptation
 - Contains punctuation and other commands
 - Contains parallel recordings from various devices

Language model

- Trained 44M sentences from web portals
 - Special preprocessing for dictation
- 800K vocabulary
- 2-gram model for 1-pass
- 3-gram model for rescoring

Adapting language model

- All punctuation and special symbols (#, %, &, ...) are replaced with words.
- Number conversion from digits to words with correct inflection.
- Then formatting and other commands were artificially added as separate sentences.
- Finally, "New line" commands were appended after every second sentence in the text corpus.

Results

• Evaluation on 1 hour held out set of dictated speech

ASR system	WER, %
Baseline with non-adapted LM	40.7%
Baseline with adapted LM	27.3%
Both AM and LM adapted	23.9%

Dictation software



Based on the full-duplex ASR system for Estonian [3]

Dictation client

- Based on dictate.js[4]
- Implementation of dictation commands
- Voice Activity Detection (VAD)
 - Reduces server load
 - Prevents iVector adaptation overfitting to silence



Shared rescoring language model

- Each recognition process has it's own copy of rescoring LM
- These copies consume a lot of RAM, but a queried only for rescoring
- Idea make "rescoring" LM shared between processes
- Advantages:
 - Smaller memory usage, more processes on the same machine
- Disadvantages:
 - Latency

Results

• Idle memory usage



Results

• Real-time performance evaluation



Conclusion

- Special text corpus preprocessing
 - 30% relative improvement
- Using Latvian Dictated Speech Corpus
 - Improved acoustic model (17%)
- WER 23.9 % on 1-hour set of dictated speech
 - **40%** relative improvement against baseline system
- Integrated as a beta feature in the existing products
 - Voice activity detection
 - Dictation commands
 - Deployment on the same hardware

References

[1] Pinnis, M., Auziņa, I., & Goba, K. (2014). Designing the Latvian Speech Recognition Corpus. In Proceedings of the 9th edition of the Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC'14) [2] Pinnis, M., Salimbajevs, A., & Auzina, I. (2016). Designing a Speech Corpus for the Development and Evaluation of Dictation Systems in Latvian, In Proceedings of the 10th edition of the Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC'16) [3] Alumäe, T. (2014). Full-duplex Speech-to-text System for Estonian. In Human Language Technologies - The Baltic Perspective - Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference Baltic 2014, Kaunas, Lithuania, September 26-27, 2014 (pp. 3– 10). doi:10.3233/978-1-61499-442-8-3 [4] https://kaljurand.github.io/dictate.js/